

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/047,202	01/14/2002		Jiri Zemlicka	WSV-374CPCN	7856	
959	7590	10/10/2003		EXAMINER		
	LAHIVE & COCKFIELD 28 STATE STREET				BERCH, MARK L	
BOSTON, N				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•				1624		
				DATE MAILED: 10/10/2003	3	
					13	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/047,202	ZEMLICKA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
•	Mark L. Berch	1624					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		<u></u>					
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 S	September 2003 .						
	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		rosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 29-46</u> is/are pending in the app	olication.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	wn from consideration.	•					
5) Claim(s) <u>32,33 and 42-44</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,29,30 and 34-41</u> is/are rejected.	<u></u>						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>31,45 and 46</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	•					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	oted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa	miner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	·						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	amıner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		,					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
2. Certified copies of the priority document	• •						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	• •						
Attachment(s)	, , ,	·					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					
S. Patent and Trademark Office							

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

Claim 30 is rejected as being drawn to an improper Markush Group. The claims are drawn to multiple inventions for reasons set forth in the above requirement for restriction. The amendment removed most but not all of the non-elected subject matter. The last 3 items in claim 30 need to be removed; these correspond to Groups II, III and IV respectively.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 29-30, 34-41 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-17 of U.S. Patent No. 6352991. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because these claims are just a broader version of the claims of the parent.

Page 2

Art Unit: 1624

Claim Objections

Page 3

Claims 45-46 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in the alternative only. See MPEP § 608.01(n).

Claim 31 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark L. Berch whose telephone number is 703-308-4718. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:15 - 3:45.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mukund Shah can be reached on 308-4716. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/047,202

Art Unit: 1624

Page 4

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 708-308-Mari Buen

1235.

Mark L. Berch **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 1624

October 9, 2003